

# The Value of Wellness Incentives




# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	. 3
The Benefits of Wellness Incentives	. 4
Wellness Incentive Options	. 5
Wellness Incentives as a Differentiator	. 6
Conclusion	. 6

# **Executive Summary**

Any strategy to motivate wellness behavior changes must include two elements – education and incentives. Employers have been providing education and decision support tools for many years. Incentive strategies have been slower to develop since they seem like additional costs with an uncertain return.

Employers are finding that motivating employees to make better health and health care choices is complicated. However, the need for behavior change to improve health is well established. The Centers for Disease Control studies show that 50 percent of health status is determined by personal behaviors.



#### **Today's Health Care Environment and Trends**

Providing wellness incentives is about helping people to get healthy or stay healthy. Done properly, incentives can significantly lower health care costs. Individual employees get healthier and the employer gains a healthier, more productive workforce.

This white paper provides a high-level overview of the benefits of wellness incentives and insights into implementing effective successful incentive strategies.

# The Benefits of Wellness Incentives

The evidence showing the value of incentives is mounting. Incentives seem to be the missing link to greater voluntary participation, healthier habits, and lower costs. A 2009 study by Health2Resources stated that almost two-thirds of U.S. companies offer programs to keep employees healthy. And 66 percent offering programs use incentives, with many companies showing a return on investment (ROI) greater than \$1 for each dollar spent.

A key finding in their study was that the value of incentives is up, averaging \$329 in 2009. Amounts range from \$1 per pound for weight loss to \$1,500 annual premium reductions. The most common incentive is health premium reduction, followed by merchandise, tokens, and gift cards.

Incentives have been evolving from participation based to results based. A 2010 survey by Towers Watson found that 42 percent of large firms will require employees to complete health coaching or a disease management program in order to earn a financial incentive in 2011. In addition, 17 percent of these employers said they either had in place or were considering plans in which employees' health status would have to improve or be maintained. That is, they would have to meet established targets for body mass index (BMI), blood pressure (BP), or cholesterol levels, or show improvement toward specific goals to earn their reward.

Self reported data has proven to be too inaccurate. Information from medical professionals and lab tests are developing as the standard. In 2011, 40 percent of employers will be offering incentives based on biometrics, which can include tested results for blood pressure, blood sugar, cholesterol, BMI,AIC levels, and waist size.



These approaches to health care consumerism focus on empowering individuals with information and a financial stake in their own health and health care. A key feature of health care consumerism is providing individuals with financial rewards for doing the right activities to improve their health. With the expansion of successful incentive strategies, member participation is dramatically higher and results are much improved.

For example, Bravo Wellness offers employers several options to use bio-metric based incentives. Their clients have experienced above 90 percent participation rates. They offer a full range of incentives including participation in a wellness assessment, attending a smoking cessation class, compliance with a condition management program (e.g. taking medications, diet, exercise, office visits), maintenance of good health characteristics or bio-metrics (e.g. blood pressure, cholesterol, nicotine use, body mass index). They have found that the best results are third-party verified bio-metrics.

# **Wellness Incentive Options**

Incentives can take on many forms. The chart below describes several options employers can use to engage employees in healthy choices. Both positive and negative incentives are possible. Existing rules allow a combination of incentives and penalties to exist within the same structure as long as the difference between the best and worst financial impact is within Federal allowances.

#### **Types of Consumerism Financial Incentives**

Goal of Incentive	Decision Timing	Health Status	Examples
Select optional health plans or provider networks that meet the cost and coverage needs of the member	During open enrollment	Distribution between the healthy and ill reflecting underlying enrollee population	Premium tiered health plans
Select a low-cost, high- quality provider	Varies, usually at the point of care	Patient is usually ill or needing service	Point of care tiered health plans
Select a low-cost, high- quality treatment option	At the point of care	Usually when the patient becomes ill, sometimes before	<ul> <li>Tiered drug benefits</li> <li>Incentives for following evidence-based care</li> </ul>
Reduce health risks by engaging members to seek care	Ongoing	Varies – the patient has a high risk or chronic condition	Incentives to comply with recommended care (e.g., prenatal care)
Reduce health risks by engaging members to change lifestyle	Ongoing	Varies – the patient has a lifestyle factor that increases health risks	Incentives based on outcomes using bio-metrics

Source: Consumer Financial Incentives: A Decision Guide for Purchasers, Prepared for:

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

There are at least five areas that can be changed to implement financial incentives:

- Premium This allows both the employee and the employer to share any savings based on the split in how each contributes to the overall cost of the plan.
- Employee Contribution Rate This allows greater flexibility to award employees more or less than would occur by using the "change in premium" approach.
- Deductible Increase or decrease the plan deductible based on compliance standards set in the plan.
- Cost-sharing This would expand on the "change deductible" approach and impact any combination of deductible, coinsurance, maximum out of pocket costs, and copayments.
- Personal Care Accounts This would allow direct increases to health savings accounts (HSAs) or health reimbursement arrangements (HRAs).

### Wellness Incentives as a Differentiator

In the future, the major areas of differentiation in employment compensation packages may be the provisions for rewards, incentives, and information to support healthy, productive employees. Employers will always be concerned about their "human capital." High functioning employees lower the costs of unscheduled sick days, absenteeism, disabilities, and workers compensation claims, while improving productivity.

The American Academy of Actuaries reports that health care consumerism lowers costs in the first year by 12-20 percent and reduces future trend by 3-5 percent.

Health Reform does limit some financial incentive options for coverage offered

through the government exchanges. Insurance through exchanges cannot use incentives to directly impact premiums for employees. For the plans that can use the full capabilities of incentives, financial extras are likely to grow and expand as employers continue to seek ways of controlling health costs and improving productivity.

The strategy of linking employee incentives to results must follow Federal rules. When an incentive (or penalty) is contingent upon the satisfaction of health status, a plan must:

- · Be designed to promote health and wellness
- Not exceed 20 percent (2014: 30 percent under PPACA) of the total cost of coverage offered
- Be available to all "similarly situated individuals"
- Offer an appeals process
- Provide "reasonable alternatives" when appropriate
- Offer re-assessments at least once per year

Consumerism with proper plan design supported by information and incentives has proven itself over the last eight years to lower costs and improve quality of care. The American Academy of Actuaries reports that health care consumerism lowers costs in the first year by 12-20 percent and reduces future trend increases by 3-5 percent.

### Conclusion

The challenge for any employer looking to establish an incentive strategy is to determine what amount of incentive for what activity will work to motivate their employees. Listen to them. Survey them. Look to similar companies in similar industries. It will be an evolutionary process with constant changes and modifications. Be logical, fair and transparent. You may need to start the process with participation incentives and evolve from there. The value of incentives and the type of incentives you choose for your employees is critical. The evidence is mounting. Providing results based incentives seems to lead to better outcomes for both the individual and the plan.

# **About Ebix Health**

Ebix Health, a business unit of Ebix, is focused on delivering a better benefits experience for everyone. With our Talentworks<sup>™</sup> solutions, we're helping employers save time and money, improve employee satisfaction, and reduce compliance risks – all with the goal of significantly streamlining the way they manage benefits. And for employees, this means online access to the information they need to better manage their benefits and better manage their lives. With our Brokerworks<sup>™</sup> solutions, we're giving brokers the technology tools and resources they need to increase agency efficiencies, improve customer service, and drive new client acquisition. For more information, contact adam.com or 800.755.2326.

# About the Author

Ron Bachman is president and CEO of Healthcare Visions, a thought leadership firm dedicated to advancing ideas and policy initiatives that are transforming the U.S. healthcare market. Bachman is a Senior Fellow of the Center for Health Transformation (CHT), the Georgia Public Policy Foundation (GPPF), the National Center for Policy Analysis (NCPA), and the Wye River Group on Health. He is an actuary with extensive experience in healthcare strategy for payers, providers and employers. Bachman is a retired partner from Pricewaterhouse Coopers where he consulted to a broad range of clients including: employers, HMOs, hospitals, physicians, indemnity carriers, BlueCross BlueShield plans, as well as State and Federal Agency clients.



